

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_123451
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMIZATION OF PRESERVATION AND UTILIZATION LOCAL PLANTS ENVIRONMENTAL LAW PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The concept of the paper is interesting and addresses an important topic, which holds potential value for the scientific community. However, the manuscript falls short in terms of execution and writing quality. The study lacks depth, and certain areas could have been explored more thoroughly to provide stronger evidence for the claims made. A more detailed and comprehensive study would improve the clarity and impact of the research. Overall, while the idea is promising, the presentation and analysis could be significantly improved.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title is broad and does not clearly reflect the specific focus of the study. Since the manuscript examines the optimization of the preservation and utilization of local Balinese plants in Badung Regency, the title should be more precise to better represent the content. An alternate title is suggested which both the geographical focus and the environmental law angle of the research.</p> <p>Suggested Title: Optimization of Preservation and Utilization of Local Balinese Plants in Badung Regency: An Environmental Law Perspective</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is well-written and provides a concise overview of the study. However, it could benefit from the inclusion of additional keywords to improve its searchability and relevance for researchers looking for similar topics.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure of the manuscript could be improved for better coherence and flow.</p> <p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Literature Review section should be added before the Research Method section. This will provide a theoretical framework and context for the study, allowing readers to understand the existing research in the field. • The objectives of the paper are missing. Some Research question can be also added for betterment of the paper • Incorporating secondary data is recommended to support and validate the findings, ensuring a more robust and credible analysis. <p>By making these adjustments, the manuscript will have a more logical progression and strengthened validation of its results.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates some important efforts in addressing the topic, but it lacks scientific robustness due to the absence of a detailed literature review. A comprehensive review of previous studies related to the subject is necessary to establish the relevance and context of the current research. Without engaging with existing literature, the manuscript may not fully capture the scope of the topic or build upon the foundation laid by earlier work. Incorporating a well-researched literature review will not only strengthen the paper's scientific accuracy but also enhance its overall credibility and relevance in the field.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Some additional research paper has to be reviewed and added</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communication in its current form. A significant portion of the manuscript requires rewriting to improve clarity and coherence. A thorough language check is essential to correct grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and ensure the paper meets the standards of academic writing.	
Optional/General comments	The paper presents an interesting and relevant topic that has the potential to contribute significantly to scientific communication. However, to ensure its value and quality, the author must implement the suggested changes, such as enhancing the structure, conducting a thorough literature review, and improving the language quality. Once these revisions are made, the paper will be in a much better position for publication and will provide meaningful insights to the academic community.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Shoaib Ansari
Department, University & Country	Government Kaktiya Post Graduate College, India