

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_123212
Title of the Manuscript:	Cystic lymphangioma of the adrenal gland : Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The title fully reflects the content of the study. 2- The abstract contains the basic information of the study. 3- The work is of sufficient and appropriate length. 4- The purpose of the study is stated clearly and concisely. 5- The work does not include a new perspective, technique or material. 6- The literature discussion is sufficient and comprehensive to provide background and contextualize the study. 7- The conclusions are supported by evidence. 8- The illustrations were accurate and informative. There were no unnecessary pictures or illustrations. 9- The language of the work was smooth and beautiful. 10- The use of technical jargon in the study is balanced. Terminology, as required by the field, makes this study understandable. 11- The study is free from the language of media, politics, bureaucracy and the market. There are no stereotypical opinions, fake concepts or rote ideas leaking from these sectors. 12- A unity of expression was achieved throughout the text. 13- The references used are very old. The most recent reference he used is from 2008. The references need to be more up to date. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arzu Bostancı Durmus
Department, University & Country	Dr. Sami Ulus Maternity and Children's Health and Diseases Training and Research Hospital, Türkiye