

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJRRGA_125504
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Adverse Drug Reactions of Anti-Gastroenterology Agents in Patients with Comorbidities
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important for the scientific community as it addresses a very common issue regarding adverse drug reactions of common agents used.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article does not seem suitable and I suggest to revise the title as mentioned below: Comparative Analysis of Adverse Drug Reactions of Agents used in Gastroenterology in Patients with Comorbidities.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the article is quite comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All the subsections of the manuscript are quite adequate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Though the scientific content of the review is technically sound but it can be made more robust after adding few more contents and tables.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are recent though not sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language and quality of the article look fine.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijay Kumar
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India