

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_125141
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Predictive Accuracy of Child-Pugh and MELD Scores in Prognosis of Liver Cirrhosis and Evaluation of Serum Ferritin Levels
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study is informative and well-structured and provides important information on liver cirrhosis scoring models. It provides useful information to the clinician on the benefits of the Child-Pugh scoring methods versus the MELD in cirrhosis patients. The methodology and inclusion and exclusion criteria is also appropriate. However, the sample size is small. More information on therapy would have been insightful. Adding more information on the limitations of the study would be also beneficial. Overall the article is meaningful and an interesting read.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article is in line with and reflects similar results to already published research of the same topic. The methods used to calculate the scores are sound and the statistical analysis is appropriate. However, the demographic section lacks key details such as BMI and the etiology of cirrhosis, which would enhance understanding of the patient population. While the 3-month follow-up period is acceptable, a longer follow-up would provide more insight into long-term prognosis rather than just short-term outcomes.</p> <p>Including confidence intervals for the survival rates would add precision to the results. The findings align well with previously published studies on this topic. Adding visual data, such as graphs, would improve the article's readability.</p> <p>There is no mention of whether the data were tested for normal distribution, which is important for validating the statistical methods used. Additionally, hazard ratios are presented without p-values, which limits the interpretation of their significance.</p> <p>There is an inconsistency in the citation format for the 8th reference, which uses two different bracket styles. Furthermore, the future directions discussed in both the conclusion and discussion sections are redundant. It would be more effective to combine the future directions and clinical implications within the discussion section to keep the conclusion focused and concise.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safa Fawaza Mahmood
Department, University & Country	David Tvildiani Medical University, Georgia