

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_124650
Title of the Manuscript:	Popularization of improved Dual purpose chickens (Koekoek) in T/maichew District Central Zone of Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Research article

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Title of this manuscript is excellent. The abstract is covered this manuscript completely. Introduction: readable, comprehensive, and covering the subject quite right. It is consist of an important references. It consist of long sentences. Authors should mention references for some paragraphs.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Complete and good.</p> <p>Results and discussions: good and clear. Authors should more discuss their findings according a scientific references.</p> <p>Conclusions and Recommendation good and clear.</p> <p>References: excellent, clear and important references.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some references are old such as ; 1991. I suggest replace it with modern references. - Authors should check all references in whole manuscript and list of references. - Authors should standardize the style of writing references. <p>Tables: excellent and clear. Figures: good and clear.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalid Chillab Kridie Al-Salhie
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq