

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_124933
Title of the Manuscript:	Very early-onset inflammatory bowel disease: a review of literature
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive review of VEO-IBD, a condition that has gained increasing attention due to its complexity and the unique challenges it presents in diagnosis and management. The authors synthesize current knowledge on its etiology, clinical presentation, and treatment options, which could aid clinicians in making informed decisions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate, but it could be more descriptive. An alternative title could be: "Very Early-Onset Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Insights into Etiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment Strategies."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is mostly comprehensive; however, it could benefit from a clearer statement regarding the clinical implications of VEO-IBD and the need for further research. Adding a sentence about the manuscript's aim to bridge gaps in knowledge would strengthen this section. Abbreviations should not be mentioned in the abstract. It's important to ensure that the abstract remains clear and accessible to a broad audience, and using abbreviations may hinder understanding. Consider spelling out terms fully to improve clarity.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction could be enhanced by being more descriptive and clearly outlining the central issues related to VEO-IBD. It is important to explicitly highlight the significance of VEO-IBD within the broader context of inflammatory bowel diseases, as well as the unique challenges it presents in diagnosis and management. Additionally, the objectives of the review should be clearly stated to provide readers with a clear understanding of what the manuscript aims to achieve. This would strengthen the foundation of the paper and guide the reader through the subsequent sections. The table "Identification of Genetic Disorders" lacks clarity and is missing appropriate references. The font and size need to be standardized.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While the manuscript provides a solid overview of VEO-IBD, it lacks sufficient detail and robust descriptions in several areas. Important concepts, such as the specific mechanisms underlying the genetic factors and their implications for treatment, are not explored in depth. Additionally, the clinical features and diagnostic criteria could benefit from more comprehensive explanations to enhance understanding.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally sufficient and recent. However, some paragraphs and table lack references.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are occasional grammatical errors and awkward phrasings that should be addressed to improve readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oumaima Anachad
Department, University & Country	Hassan II University, Morocco