

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_125280
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ameliorative Effects of N-Acetyl Cysteine and Zinc Sulfate on Reproductive Dysfunction Induced by Short-Term Crude Oil Exposure in Male Wistar Rats</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript has practical relevance as it is related with reproductive performance of the individual by accidental consumption of BLCO (Crude oil) and also remedy identified in the form of antioxidants.  <b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add some numerical value of the result	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is need to elaborate discussion section.</li> <li>2. Describe the mechanism and effect of crude oil toxicity</li> <li>3. Describe result properly and clearly.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Incorporate latest references	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Few corrections are required	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript is Ok but may be considered for publication only after incorporations of all justifications and corrections	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manju Roy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dau Shri Vasudev Chandrakar Kamdhenu University, India</b>