

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_124916
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, Nutrients Digestibility And Economic Response Of Five Broiler Strains Fed Common Diet
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provided good comparison of five different strains of broiler and covered variety of parameters such as growth, nutrient digestibility and economic evaluation but health and mortality rates, meat quality immunity, impact of environmental variables, which may affect their performance, is lacking in this work. This is a short-term study; results may vary in long term study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable for this study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive and covers all parameters of study in brief.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study provides practical, data-driven recommendations for farmers regarding strain selection in view of growth performance and profitability. It could be improved by addressing more variables like health, meat quality, environmental factors, and feed composition. Adding long-term data would make it more applicable to a wider range of production scenarios.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient, addition of more citations to their work (in support or in contrary to their findings) will make it more reliable.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aulia Kanwal
Department, University & Country	Comsats University, Pakistan