

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125039
Title of the Manuscript:	Reimagining Cultural Identity through Technology in English Language Education in Oman
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important as it addresses the impact of digital tools on cultural identity among students within the Omani context. It provides insights into how technology can be both a tool for language learning and a factor in the negotiation of cultural identity. This research is relevant given the increasing globalized use of English and the rise of digital platforms in education, which can introduce conflicting cultural values.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript reflects the central theme of the manuscript. However, it might benefit from being more specific by including the target group, such as "among Omani Students," to clarify the scope of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive, summarizing the key findings and relevance of the study. However, it needs to be improved by including more details on the digital tools analyzed in the study. Additionally, the research methodology, briefly mentioned, should be expanded to highlight how quantitative and qualitative methods were used to reinforce the study's conclusions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections are appropriate, following a logical progression from the introduction and literature review to the methodology, results, and discussion. The literature review could be better integrated into the discussion to draw more direct comparisons between the findings of this study and existing research. The introduction is informative, but the transition from the cultural importance of English to technology's role in shaping identity could be smoother. Adding a brief bridge that discusses how technology mediates this balance in Oman would enhance flow. The literature review adequately supports the study but could benefit from more recent sources. Although some recent references are cited, there is a gap in studies post-2022 that should be filled with more contemporary research on digital tools and language learning in the Gulf in general and Oman in particular. The conclusion draws well on the study's findings, but it could be more assertive in recommending specific actions for educational policy and curriculum development. Offering clearer directives on how localized digital content can be integrated into learning tools would enhance the study's practical application.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a clear research framework, utilizes a mixed-methods approach, and offers both qualitative and quantitative data to support its conclusions. The data collection and analysis methods are well-explained, and the findings are clearly linked to the research questions. The study contributes to the broader understanding of the intersection between technology, language learning, and cultural identity, making it an important addition to the field of educational technology in non-Western contexts	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. However, adding more region-specific references on cultural identity and digital learning, particularly from neighboring Gulf countries, could strengthen the study's contextual grounding.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is coherent. However, punctuation in the manuscript needs some improvement, such as the full stops in some places are inappropriately placed. For example, in the Significance of the Study section, there is a statement where the full stop is placed before the citation: "international business and trade. (Christiansen, 2015) By focusing on...".	
Optional/General comments	Minor revisions include the recommendations mentioned in the form above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danya Alshaalan
Department, University & Country	Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, Saudi Arabia