

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_125263
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of the Federal Internal Audit board in enhancing performance efficiency to reduce financial and administrative corruption
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study is important to scientific community to enhance the performance efficiency of internal audit and oversight bodies by establishing the Federal Internal Audit Council, which will reduce the phenomenon of financial and administrative corruption in government institutions. It is also important as it reflects the reality of the work of internal audit departments in government units, stating their effects and analyzing strengths, weaknesses and determinants.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>YES IT IS SUITABLE</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>YES IT IS COMPREHENSIVE. THERE IS NO ADDITION OR DELETION REQUIRED</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>YES SUBSECTIONS ARE APPROPRIATE</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This manuscript is scientifically correct and robust and technically sound because of the research model chosen and scientifically tested and proved.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>YES. REFERENCES SUFFICIENT AND RECENT. NO ADDITIONAL REQUIRED.</b>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YES.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>G. Bhaskar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>J. N. N. Institute of Engineering, India</b>