

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125430
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRUCTIVIST LEARNING ENVIRONMENT, CRITICAL THINKING MOTIVATION, SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING READINESS, A STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODEL ON STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Good: The area of interest is timely and needs to be explored. The explanation is clear. The study looking at suitable model for students' engagement is important to help educators enhance their available teaching pedagogy, theoretical knowledge and practices.</p> <p>Weak: The abstract needs to be in one paragraph – refer to journal format.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>Yes. But can also be rephrased to properly reflect what the study about.</p> <p>Suggestion: "Constructivist Learning Environment, Critical Thinking Motivation, and Self-Directed Learning Readiness for Students' Engagement: Using Structural Equation Model."</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Abstract is comprehensive but need to follow the abstract format for journal publication.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Yes, but missing a Literature Review section. Results and Discussion section can be separated. Please add Limitations and Recommendations sections.</p> <p>Refer to journal format.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Introduction: Lack of critical analysis of the past studies – the first paragraph is not well-developed. Need to show connection between the three main items of constructivist learning theory and environment, critical thinking motivation, and self-directed learning readiness, not just merely mentioning them – a sentence each to link the items together will make the flow and write-up clearer.</p> <p>Cite fact such as the SDG4 mentioned in paragraph 5. The statement regarding 'no local study' can be cited by adding any recommendations from past studies to conduct the current research in different (local) settings or contexts.</p> <p>Literature: Should be added to show critical analysis of past studies, making alignment to the current studies.</p> <p>Make sure the in-text citations follow APA referencing format.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>Current references but not enough.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but can be edited better.	
Optional/General comments	<p>2.1: What are the existing materials? Need to specify and properly cite the original sources if adaptations were made. How did the researcher know about the mean range? Is it commonly used/ referred to? Please add source. Please add the experts' info or brief details such as the organizations and expertise/field/area of interest. Or any other criteria for experts' selection.</p> <p>Check 2.1 Research Respondents and another 2.1 Research Instrument. Should it be 2.2?</p> <p>4: The results and discussion section can be separated and be written more rigorously. The recommendation should be in a different section specific for Recommendations.</p> <p>References: Check the font colour. Is it a different shade of black? Any particular reason?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Syafiqah Yaccob
Department, University & Country	Smk Dato' Abdul Rahman Ya'kub, Malaysia