

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125187
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Contribution of Vegetable Gardening to Enhancing Household Income: A Case Study of Tabora Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper probed into the structural bases of the vegetable farmers and their farming practices as well as its contribution to household income. The scientific enquiry provides valuable data on agricultural practices and economic outcomes. It further encourages collaboration among various discipline. The findings can be a basis for policy decisions which can be applied in similar context worldwide. However, the use of the appropriate terminology in the context of the study along with conclusive statements without adequate data should be minimized.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The study adopted both the qualitative and the quantitative approach. Removal of 'case study' from the topic may be appropriate because of the use of a structured questionnaire as one of the tools of data collection. New topic: Assessment of Vegetable Gardening and its contribution to Household Income in Tabora Municipality, Tanzania	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Inclusion of Sampling method adopted is advised.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Based on the sampling procedure in the study, the use of a specific sampling method should be mentioned. An illustration can be made since the sampling procedure involves multiple stages; a multi-stage sampling method may be appropriate highlighting a specific sampling technique used in each stage. 2. The claim of the use of the same sampling method and procedures to identify respondent for survey, key informant interview and focus group discussion is unlikely. The difference in sample size (survey is 120, FGD is 24 and KII is 12) and the background of the respondents should show a significant variation in the selection of sample. Thus, clarification is required. 3. The relationship and associations between variables may be assessed using inferential statistics such as correlation matrix, chi square test etc. 4. The extensive review of literature helps in clarifying the background and significance of the study. 5. The sampling procedure (a part from the points mentioned earlier) is detailed and scientific. 6. The determination of the sample size is technical and reliable. 7. The use of frequency tables, graphs and diagram significantly contributed to the clarity of this paper. 8. The result and discussions are clearly articulated. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	RTC.Lalremruata
Department, University & Country	Higher and Technical Institute, India