

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124715
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Literacy Competencies in Grade 3 Pupils: A Comparative Study of Contextualized Digital Strategic Intervention Materials (CDSIM) Delivery Modalities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it provides new ideas, perspectives and valuable insights into the impact of digital and offline intervention tools, like CDSIM, in enhancing literacy skills among young learners. By comparing online and offline modalities, the study highlights the flexibility of modern educational interventions and their potential to improve literacy skills, which is very important for developing effective teaching strategies.</p> <p>I like the manuscript's focus on both technological and traditional approaches, as it bridges the gap between accessibility and learning outcomes. However, while the results are promising. I like this manuscript because it deals with an important aspect of education—enhancing literacy among young learners—by using innovative methods like digital storytelling. Additionally, the study's focus on practical application makes it highly relevant for educators seeking flexible and effective literacy interventions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive, covering the main aspects of the study, including the aims, methodology, results, and conclusions.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it uses a clear quasi-experimental design, employing both pre- and post-tests to find out the impact of CDSIM on literacy outcomes. The use of two delivery modalities—online and offline—adds depth to the study, allowing for a comparison of different learning environments, which strengthens the validity of the findings. The statistical analysis of the study is appropriately carried out, with t-tests clearly showing the significant improvements in literacy scores. Furthermore, the inclusion of control for variables and the use of purposeful and random sampling techniques make the study methodologically rigorous, ensuring the results are reliable and applicable in similar educational contexts.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>The references provided are both sufficient and relevant for the topic of literacy and its impact in the Philippines. They include a mix of recent reports, academic articles, and news sources from 2021 to 2023, which ensures that the information is current and reflective of recent developments in literacy education.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>The language and English quality of the article appear mostly suitable for scholarly communication. . The manuscript is generally well-written.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The combination of online and offline modalities provides valuable insights into flexible learning solutions for elementary students, which is particularly relevant in diverse educational settings. The study is methodologically sound, with well-executed statistical analyses and clear reporting of results.</b>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Faisal Mohammed Saleh Anaam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Taiz University, Yemen</b>