

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125179
Title of the Manuscript:	DISSEMINATION APPROACHES THAT ENHANCE ADOPTION OF CLIMATE RESILIENT TIMPS FOR PIGEON PEA, SORGHUM AND GREEN GRAMS VALUE CHAINS IN MACHAKOS COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Agricultural dissemination approaches in developing countries play a key role in facilitating the adoption of climate smart technologies, innovations and management practices among farmers. Getting data on these approaches and understanding which ones are the most used could help to adopt or adapt strategies to face climate variations. It is also very important to know the perception of stakeholders on the effectiveness of the dissemination approaches for TIMPS.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>DISSEMINATION APPROACHES ENHANCING ADOPTION OF CLIMATE RESILIENT TIMPS FOR VALUE CHAINS (PIGEON PEA, SORGHUM AND GREEN GRAMS) IN MACHAKOS COUNTY, KENYA</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Abstract should contain results with data (statistical data for example). Rewrite for better understanding some parts.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This manuscript treats an actual problem and may give solutions in adoption of climate resilient TIMPS, i but introduction is lack of specific objectives, the method design is not well done or not sufficiently described. Also results and discussion are not well treated. References do not respect templates regulations. These lacks lead to misunderstand study objectives.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Syntax grammar should be revised in whole text. Many sentences are too long.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kouakou Yao Salomon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Peleforo Gon Coulibaly of Korhogo, Cote d'Ivoire</b>