

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_124564
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical Quality of Edible Film with The Addition of Whey Protein Isolate
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Whey protein isolate is one of the raw materials used in edible films. There are many studies on this subject. The study is important. There are important points that are not explained.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	- The title is concise.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is appropriate according to the main text.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The edible coating was obtained with chitosan, and whey protein isolate was added at different concentrations. Addition of whey protein isolate increased water content, solubility and swelling degree. The reason for following results has not been explained; While the addition of 0.3% WPI decreased the thickness, the addition of 0.6% WPI increased the thickness by 1.3%. The edible coating with 0.6% WPI had the best transparency result among the coatings with WPI addition.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are sufficient and recent. The following publication is not cited in the text.  Schröder, A., Berton-Carabin, C., Venema, P., & Cornacchia, L. (2017). Interfacial properties of whey protein and whey protein hydrolysates and their influence on O/W emulsion stability. <i>Food Hydrocolloids</i> , 73, 129-140.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript should be review the manuscript by English native speaker.	
Optional/General comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celile Aylin Oluk
Department, University & Country	Eastern Mediterranean Agriculture Research Institute, Türkiye