

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_121974
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Public Acceptance of Nanotechnology in Different Food Categories and Packaging Applications
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript lacks a comprehensive analysis as implied by the title. Only fundamental information is supplied.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not suitable. Suggested: The Application of Nanotechnology in Various Food Categories and Packaging	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive and retainable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is a lack of appropriate yet thorough examination.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work necessitates a thorough revision, encompassing the incorporation of statistical data and the inclusion of high-quality images. The manuscript is devoid in originality and fails to convey fundamental information. Inadequate data is provided.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language is appropriate	
Optional/General comments	Revision of the manuscript is suggested	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayesha Sultana
Department, University & Country	YENEPOYA Deemed to be University, India