

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_124732
Title of the Manuscript:	Objective visual acuity estimation via the optokinetic response to high-pass filtered stimuli: An exploratory study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THIS ARTICLE IF DONE ON LARGER SAMPLE SIZE CAN BE VERY REVOLUTIONAL, AS IT SHOWCASES THE NEW APPROACH TO VISION ASSESMENT IN ALL CHILDREN AND DISABLE PERSONNEL. LEADING TO CORRECT ASSESMENT AND TREATMENT. EARLY DETECTION OF AMBLYOPIA IS ALSO POSSIBLE.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THIS ARTICLE FOCUSES ON OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE ASSESMENT OF VISUAL ACUITY. SOME TIME SUBJECTIVE ASSESMENT IS DIFFICULT IN CASE OF CHILDREN AND DISABLES. SO, THIS SYSTEM CAN CORRECTLY ASSES THE VISUAL ACUITY AND START WITH PROPER TREATMENT. THIS ARTICLE HAS USED SCIENTIFIC METHOD TO ASSES THE VISUAL ACUITY	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ABBREVIATION SHOULD BE WRITEN IN STARTING	
Optional/General comments	NEED A LARGER SAMPLE SIZE FOR BETTER RESULTS AND ASSESMENT.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prakhar Chaudhary
Department, University & Country	Vinayak Siddi Hospital Eye and Child Care Centre, India