

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124942
Title of the Manuscript:	A Scale to Measure the Level of Management Orientation of the Members of Farmer Producer Company with Reference to Potato Production in Assam
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, particularly for Potato future production. This particular study paves the way for other programs to promote more the crop with appropriate packages. <p>SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The title of the manuscript/article is suitable and can be complement of the research work conducted on the crop (Potato) 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Abstract part of the paper is more of comprehensive, except background part (rationale of the study) 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Yes, sub-sections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate according to my observations 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The manuscript is scientifically correct and meaningful with directions 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The references for this paper are more or less sufficient but try to incorporate recently available or published references to make more updated 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ For scholarly communications, the quality of English language throughout the manuscript is very good and can be understandable by any person around the scientific community 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ None 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeru Yimer Kebede
Department, University & Country	Pawe Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia