

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124561
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of bio-pesticides against leaf miner, Liriomyza spp. of field pea
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The paper tried to address a good topic on use of biopesticides. These are locally available and can be very beneficial to the farmers. However, the manuscript isn't written well to explain the process and result to the committee making it difficult for the scientific community to understand the context and result.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title looks good.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract should give a brief introduction and problem statement of the topic which is not currently included. The location mentioned on abstract is too long, a short information can only be included in the abstract. There are grammatical errors in the abstract which makes readers difficult to understand the context.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The paper is not complete with major portion of methodology, introduction missing. The discussion hasn't been included at all</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is poorly written and doesn't include details on the methodology used. It doesn't explain the research gap. Literature review to provide more context to introduction and discussion is missing. Results aren't reported well.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>The references included aren't cited properly. More references with intext citation is required and must be included where the data is being reported in the introduction.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Citation should be added to the introduction where data are used. 2. Introduction section is incomplete. More information is required about the leaf minor and its damage, its lifecycle, different methods used for their management. This section should also include the current research gaps and what the objective of this paper is. 3. Materials and Method section is incomplete. More detail information should be added about the materials used in the study, how they were prepared or where they are available, how the data collection is done and what statistical method is used for the data analysis. 4. The result mentions the extract numbers, mean number should be included about along with standard deviation. Also, methodology should include detailed information on how these data were collected. 5. Discussion section is very less and should be included before conclusion This should be added to discuss about how this study was supported by other literature and explanation for reason of biopesticides working effectively, 6. Conclusion doesn't clearly 7. Graphs don't have error bars. Its the same information on table and graph.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Kushal Naharki</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>School of Agriculture and Food System, West Virginia University, USA</p>