

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_124823
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacist's Knowledge and Attitudes Toward Digital Health Tools.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

1.

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please provide more discussion why qualification, course participation, type of pharmacist have no association with knowledge. Discussion is not adequate by merely comparing with one study.</li><li>2. There is no result and discussion on attitude and barrier as mentioned in Objective</li><li>3. Abstract: There is no explanation on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study participants, period of data collection, survey tools; Type of data analysis should be explicitly stated in Methods; no data related respondents' attitude, characteristics of demographics; conclusion does not correspond to the Objective</li><li>4. So many trivial errors</li><li>5. Be consistent with your Objective</li><li>6. Method: please provide inclusion criteria, sample size calculation, more details on type of data analysis</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hesty Utami Ramadaniati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pancasila, Indonesia