

**Review Form 3**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_124823  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pharmacist's Knowledge and Attitudes Toward Digital Health Tools. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | This manuscript holds significant relevance for the scientific community because it addresses pharmacists' knowledge and attitudes toward the adoption of digital health tools, a critical aspect as healthcare increasingly moves toward digital integration. The study highlights gaps in knowledge among pharmacists, which could hinder the adoption of innovative health tools that aim to enhance patient care. This manuscript is well-positioned to contribute to the discourse on how to improve training and integration of these technologies in pharmacy practice. |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Yes, the title accurately reflects the study's focus on pharmacists' knowledge and attitudes toward digital health tools. It is clear and concise.   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | The abstract is comprehensive but could be enhanced by including the significance of the statistical analysis results, such as highlighting the p-values for important associations in the results section. Additionally, briefly discussing the implications of the findings for future training programs would be helpful.   |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate, although the discussion section could benefit from further elaboration on the implications of the findings for both practice and policy, particularly regarding the training of pharmacists in digital health tools.   |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study is scientifically robust and technically sound. The methodology, including the use of validated questionnaires and SPSS for data analysis, is appropriate for the research question. However, the study would benefit from a deeper discussion of the limitations of the cross-sectional design and the relatively small sample size. Additionally, providing more details on the specific digital health tools in use would make the study more comprehensive.  |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | The references are mostly sufficient and recent. However, it would be beneficial to include more recent studies, especially from the past two years, that address the adoption of digital health tools in pharmacy practice and healthcare. This will provide a more up-to-date context for the findings.  |   |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments<br><br>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | The language is mostly clear and suitable for scholarly communication. However, a few grammatical issues need to be addressed. For example, in some sections, there are run-on sentences that could be broken down for better readability.   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments  | The study could benefit from a more detailed exploration of the specific barriers pharmacists face when adopting digital health tools. This would provide more actionable insights for healthcare institutions.<br><br>The conclusion should be strengthened with a clear recommendation for future research or training initiatives based on the findings.  |   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Cranjib Dutta</b>                             |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Guru Nanak Institute of Technology, India</b> |