

Pharmacist's Knowledge and Attitudes Toward Digital Health Tools.

ABSTRACT

Background: Digital health solutions have seen a remarkable increase in recent years as they enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of healthcare system. Digital health tools have transformed how patients interact with healthcare. Digital health tools help in diagnosis, measuring of vitals on daily and regular basis, and in prevention of diseases. Studies show a gap between the design of these tools and the needs of the patients they serve. The study aimed to identify areas where improvements are needed for digital health skills.

Objective: The objective of this study is to assess community pharmacist's knowledge and attitudes toward integration of digital health tools (e.g. mobile apps, telehealth) into their practice, identify training needs and potential barriers to adoption of digital health tools.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey-based study was conducted by research students of a private medical college in Lahore a city of Punjab, Pakistan. Data was collected on data collection form after getting consent from the participants. After inclusion & exclusion criteria sample size was remained to 70. For analysis of collected data SPSS 26th version was used.

Results: 51.4% of participants were females but males have more adequate knowledge than females. 70% of participants have work experience of less than 2 years and only 12.9% have participated in courses related to digital health tools. A statistically non-significant was observed between participants knowledge and their qualification as p-value was 1. Additionally, non-significance was observed between all demographics and knowledge of participants as none have p-value less than 0.05.

Conclusion: The current study concluded that there was no significant association between participants demographics/professional variables and knowledge concerning digital health tools. However, male pharmacists and those pharmacists working in chain pharmacies have more

knowledge but this impact was not justified by p-values. Similarly, those participants who have done some certifications have some positive influence on knowledge.

Keywords:Digital Health Tools, Digital Health, eHealth services, digital health in community Pharmacy.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

INTRODUCTION:

Digital health solutions have seen a remarkable increase in recent years, offering promising prospects for enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of healthcare tools [1]. To ensure the continued relevance and effectiveness of pharmacy practice, the profession must embrace the rapid advancements in digital healthcare, The International Pharmaceutical Federation (FIP) conducted a global study to evaluate how well pharmacy education programs are preparing pharmacists for the digital age, The study aimed to identify areas where improvements are needed for digital health skills [2].

The widespread adoption of digital health technology in the past two decades has raised important safety and ethical concerns, Despite these challenges digital health tools are increasingly used by patients, healthcare providers, and organizations to enhance healthcare outcomes and improve care delivery, These tools offer personalized treatment recommendations, aid in diagnosis, streamline workflows, empower self-care, and facilitate access to complex health data. Additionally, digital health technology plays a crucial role in wellness, chronic disease prevention, and health promotion [3].

Digital health technologies can significantly improve the quality, reach, and efficiency of healthcare services [4]. The adoption of digital health in healthcare is still limited in many countries, To drive its successful application and integration, it is essential for healthcare professionals, including those in training, to adopt digital technology [5].

Digital health tools have transformed how patients interact with healthcare. Studies show a gap between the design of these tools and the needs of the patients they serve. By integrating artificial intelligence, these technologies have been used to guide public health policies, modernize healthcare systems, increase efficiency, and streamline processes. These technologies aim to empower patients and increase their involvement in their healthcare decisions. Most digital technologies have been created primarily with the technical focus, neglecting the importance of user-centered design. Patient portals, mobile applications, and wearable technologies provide a more accessible way for patients to manage their health. They simplify access to medical records and expert advice while making data collection less intrusive [6].

Digital health software is rapidly expanding, offering new opportunities for patients, healthcare systems, and other stakeholders to enhance patient-centered care using innovative apps, sensors, algorithms, and data visualization techniques [7]. The healthcare system is under pressure due to increasing population and with that there is an increased need for healthcare services by the patients, to overcome this imbalance organizations are working on advanced technologies such as digital health tools i.e. smart and portable appliances [8].

These tools are useful in various ways such in diagnosis of the disease and also helps in making preventions and managing various diseases [9]. Different health apps are used by the cancer patients to track their treatment for the disease and to keep a check on their side effects [10]. Digital health tools are also used in managing depression by tracking the changes in mood as well as the behavior of a patient [11].

The developing area of digital health tools provides its services in managing various diseases which are chronic in nature and also promotes health, mobile health (mHealth) is useful in managing diabetes mellitus as it aids in controlling blood sugar level and provides guidance in managing the diabetes [12]. Electronic health records provide history of patient health, and the data provides information about the medicines that are dispensed to the patient and demographic data of the patient and lab findings, etc [13].

Digital health tools (apple, fitbit, etc) provide a lot of advantages to patients in monitoring their treatments as a result of which their diseases have been managed well, many devices have unique features such as diet plans, reminders related to medicines and guide to various exercises [14]. Healthcare tools can have various positive outcomes on health of people such as it play an important role in proper diagnoses and treatment plans, increase adherence to medication and increase patient monitoring, these outcomes can significantly reduce the health care costs of patients [15].

Moreover, use of health care tools can be challenging in medical practice in order to maintain the privacy of patients and training of staff to have proper access of technology [16]. In future Artificial intelligence and other advancement in technologies can enhance the functions and uses of digital health care tools and can improve digital diagnosis and tailored regimens according to genomics of patients [17]. Digital health tools usage is increasing worldwide as these are being

implemented in many countries but the quality of implementation and the productiveness varies with different types of digital health tools [18].

The objective of this study is to assess community pharmacist's knowledge and attitudes toward integration of digital health tools (e.g. mobile apps, telehealth) into their practice, identify training needs and potential barriers to adoption of digital health tools.

METHODOLOGY:

A cross-sectional survey-based study was conducted by research students of a private medical college in Lahore to evaluate the attitude and knowledge about digital tools in community pharmacy practice. Quantitative research methodology was carried out that involves validated questionnaires and research tools were utilized to evaluate the knowledge, attitude and perception of pharmacists about digital tools in clinical settings

The data for this research was collected from pharmacists working in community pharmacies in Lahore Punjab Pakistan. Study settings were purely based in Lahore Punjab Pakistan and its purpose was only to study the pharmacist attitude and knowledge regarding digital tools, to accomplish this goal different areas community pharmacies were visited and data was collected from August 30, 2024 to September 6, 2024.

INCLUSION CRITERIA:

Those participants who gave consent and were willing to participate are included in the study

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

All those participants whose work experience was less than 1 year were excluded from the study.

After the application of inclusion and exclusion criteria's sample size was remained to 70 participants. For data collection, a signed consent form was provided to the study subjects and data was collected after consent to participate. The questionnaire consisted of three parts, first part was related to demographic data which included age, gender, residential areas like urban or rural, year of experience, and qualification of participants. The second part dealt with attitude for this purpose, the following questions were asked, do pharmacists are familiar with digital tools, do these tools help them solve or handle different situations, do all of them use these tools, do these tools provide them with better opportunities for betterment of patients, is it challenging to

use digital tools, do they believe in participating in online webinars or engaging in peer-to-peerlearning would be useful for implementing digital health tools.

Thirdpart of questionnaire consists of knowledge questions about digital health tools for community pharmacists. Questions included in this part were as: common types of digital health tools used, chief purpose on patient's end to use digital health tools, benefits of telepharmacy, any issues while using artificial intelligence (AI), how pharmacists assist or educate patients in utilizing these tools, and cost of adoption these tools. Participants who gave 60 percent answers right their knowledge was considered adequate.

Ethical Approval:

Ethical approval from Institutional Ethical Review Board (IRB) and Bio-Ethical Committee (BEC) of LMDC was attained.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

To statistically analyze the collected data 26th version of SPSS was used. Following tests kurtosis and skewness were applied to find out whether data followed normal distribution or not. For the purpose of summarizing the data standard deviation and mean were employed. Evaluation of categorical data was carried out either by using chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. For measuring of effect size Cramer's V or Phi (ϕ) was used. Less than 0.05 ofP-value was considered significant. One-way ANOVA or independent t-test was applied for the testing of null hypothesis.

RESULTS:

Out of sample size of 70 participants, 51.4% of them were female participants. The mean age of all participants calculated was 27.41 ± 4.03 . Additionally, 70% of participants have experience of less than 2 years. Further demographic information of participants is provided in table 1.

Table 1: Represents demographics of participants (N=70).

Variables	N(%)
Age	

Age	27.41±4.03
Gender	
Male	34 (48.6)
Female	36 (51.4)
Area	
Urban	44(62.6)
Rural	26 (37.1)
Qualification	
Pre-graduation	10 (14.3)
Post-graduation	60 (85.7)
Name if any courses you have done	
Yes	9 (12.9)
No	61 (87.1)
Pharmacy	
Chain	20 (28.6)
Independent	49 (70.0)
Years of experience	
Less than 2 years	49 (70)
2-5 years	9 (12.9)
More than 5 years	12 (17.1)

Among 70 participants, for various variables a post-hoc pairwise comparison of chi-square test with knowledge was conducted to evaluate any kind of association between them. Analysis of collected data revealed that qualification of participants don't have any significant association (P-value=1) with their level of knowledge. Further details on association of various variables with knowledge of participants is provided in table 2.

Table 2: Represent knowledge of participants (N=70).

Variables	Patient knowledge N (%)		P-value*	Effect size [#]
	Adequate knowledge	Inadequate knowledge		
Gender				
Male	12 (35.3)	22 (64.7)	0.437	-
Female	9 (25)	27 (75)		
Area				
urban	15 (34.1)	29 (65.9)	0.422	-
rural	6 (23.1)	20 (76.9)		
Qualification				
Pre graduation	3 (30.0)	7 (70)	1.0	-
Post graduation	18 (30.0)	42 (70)		
Name if any courses you have done				
No	16 (26.2)	45 (73.8)	0.116	-
Yes	5 (55.6)	4 (44.4)		
Pharmacy				
Chain	10 (50.0)	10 (50.0)	0.062	-
Independent	11 (24.4)	38 (77.6)		
Years of experience				
Less than 2 years	13 (26.5)	36 (73.5)	0.538	-
2-5 years	4 (44.4)	5 (55.6)		
Greater than 5 years	4 (33.3)	8 (66.7)		

By using chi-square test P-value was calculated. As Phi-coefficient measures the strength of association so effect size was determined by using Phi-coefficient.

Table 3: Represent Attitudes of community pharmacists (N=70).

Outcome Variables	Mean (SD)	95% Confidence Interval (C.I)		<i>t- statistic (df)</i>	<i>p- value</i>	<i>Effect size (η^2)</i>
		Lower bounds	Upper bounds			
Gender						
Male	32.794±5.156	31.129	34.483	0.006	0.938	-
Female	32.69±5.538	31.0	34.48			
Area						
Urban	33.18±4.97	31.64	34.64	0.805	0.373	-
Rural	32.00±5.88	29.88	34.61			
Qualification						
Pre graduation	35.70±5.73	32.55	39.11	0.85	0.373	-
Post graduation	32.25±5.13	31.01	33.55			
Courses						
No	32.50±5.21	31.29	33.82	0.923	0.340	-
Yes	34.33±6.06	30.66	33.33			
Pharmacy						
Chain	33.30±6.78	30.53	36.15	0.370	0.692	-
Independent	32.591±4.69	31.29	33.92			
Years of experience						
Less than 2 years	33.06±5.33	31.58	34.599	0.966	0.386	-
2-5 years	33.55±7.24	28.833	38.28			
More than 5 years	30.88±3.15	29.06	32.812			

DISCUSSION:

In current study, it was observed that male community pharmacists have more adequate knowledge than female community pharmacists. However, there is no significant association found between knowledge and gender of participants as the P-value was 0.437 which was non-significant. While analyzing data there was no significant association observed between the area of community pharmacy and knowledge of pharmacists related to digital health tools and P-value was 0.422. Similar kind of study conducted which stated that across majority of studies no difference between genders of participants were observed [20].

The findings suggest that the qualification of participants and their knowledge concerning digital health tools have no significant relation with each other as the observed P-value was 1. The qualification variable reveals the impact of pre-education and post-education background which exhibited the same distribution, with 30% being qualified and 70% being unqualified. The p-value was 1.0 which was statistically nonsignificant implying that qualification has no impact on the outcome of knowledge. Similar kind of study was conducted in china on patients which showed a positive relationship on digital tool use as their p-value for master's degree program and above was <0.001 [19].

The analysis for variable of participation in courses reveals that 55.6 % have more adequate knowledge in comparison to those who have not participated in courses. These findings suggest that courses play a major role in the knowledge of community pharmacists for digital health tools. However, the p-value was 0.1116 which was non-significant so there was no association between participation in courses and knowledge related to digital health tools. Similar kind of pilot study reported that 60% of participants who have trained with digital skills apply and transfer it to their professional practice [21].

The study indicates that community pharmacists from independent pharmacies have lower positive outcomes of knowledge which is 24.4% in comparison to community pharmacists of chain pharmacies. But there is no association observed because p-value is 0.062 which is

statistically non-significant. Similarly, the study also indicates that community pharmacists having work experience of 2 to 5 years have more positive outcomes toward knowledge which is 44.4% than those having experience of less than 2 years (26.5). These findings show that work experience has a positive result on knowledge. However, in that case we don't find any significant association as p-value is 0.538 which is statistically non-significant. Study reported that pharmacists with higher level of education and longer tenure or work experience exhibit positive agreement towards digital health literacy among their patients [22].

Conclusion:

Healthcare is revolutionized by Digital Health Tools as they improve the diagnosis of diseases, treatment and patient management. Digital tools help empower patients by enhancing healthcare delivery. Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and related technologies hold promise for better healthcare outcomes around the globe. The current study concluded that there was no significant association between participants demographics/professional variables (age, gender, area of practice, types of pharmacy, and work experience) and knowledge concerning digital health tools. However, those participants who have done some certifications have some positive influence on knowledge.

REFERENCES

1. *Marwaha JS, Landman AB, Brat GA, Dunn T, Gordon WJ.* Deploying digital health tools within large, complex health systems: key considerations for adoption and implementation. *NPJ digital medicine.* 2022 Jan 27;5(1):13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-022-00557-1>
2. Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, Meilanti S, Khatri B, Yi W, Azzopardi LM, Acosta Gómez J, Gülpınar G, Bennara K, Uzman N. Digital health in pharmacy education: Preparedness and responsiveness of pharmacy programmes. *Education Sciences.* 2021 Jun 16;11(6):296. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11060296>
3. Grosman-Rimon L, Wegier P With advancement in health technology comes great responsibility—Ethical and safety considerations for using digital health technology: A narrative review. *Medicine.* 2024 Aug 16;103(33):e39136 <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000039136>

4. Fahy N, Williams GA, Habicht T, Köhler K, Jormanainen V, Satokangas M, Tynkkynen LK, Lantsch H, Winklemann J, Cascini F, Belvis AG. Use of digital health tools in Europe: before, during and after COVID-19. PMID: 35099866 Bookshelf ID: [NBK576970](#)
5. Thapa S, Nielsen JB, Aldahmash AM, Qadri FR, Leppin A. Willingness to use digital health tools in patient care among health care professionals and students at a University Hospital in Saudi Arabia: quantitative cross-sectional survey. JMIR medical education. 2021 Feb 19;7(1):e18590. <https://doi.org/10.2196/18590>
6. Madanian S, Nakarada-Kordic I, Reay S. Patients' perspectives on digital health tools. PEC innovation. 2023 Dec 1;2:100171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecinn.2023.100171>
7. Auerbach AD. Evaluating digital health tools—prospective, experimental, and real world. JAMA Internal Medicine. 2019 Jun 1;179(6):840-1. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.7229>
8. Madanian S, Nakarada-Kordic I, Reay S. Patients' perspectives on digital health tools. PEC innovation. 2023 Dec 1;2:100171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecinn.2023.100171>
9. Gordon WJ, Landman A, Zhang H, Bates DW. Beyond validation: getting health apps into clinical practice. NPJ digital medicine. 2020 Feb 3;3(1):14 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-019-0212-z>
10. Charbonneau DH, Hightower S, Katz A, Zhang K, Abrams J, Senft N, Beebe-Dimmer JL, Heath E, Eaton T, Thompson HS. Smartphone apps for cancer: A content analysis of the digital health marketplace. Digital health. 2020 Feb;6:2055207620905413. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2055207620905413>
11. De Angel V, Lewis S, White K, Oetzmann C, Leightley D, Oprea E, Lavelle G, Matcham F, Pace A, Mohr DC, Dobson R. Digital health tools for the passive monitoring of depression: a systematic review of methods. NPJ digital medicine. 2022 Jan 11;5(1):3. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00548-8>
12. Shan R, Sarkar S, Martin SS. Digital health technology and mobile devices for the management of diabetes mellitus: state of the art. Diabetologia. 2019 Jun;62(6):877-87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-019-4864-7>
13. Leung V, Tharmalingam S, Cooper J, Charlebois M. Canadian community pharmacists' use of digital health technologies in practice. Canadian Pharmacists Journal/Revue des Pharmaciens du Canada. 2016 Jan;149(1):38-45 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1715163515618679>
14. Cornell WK, Clauson KA, Cain J. Updating the model: the case for independent pharmacy to embrace digital health. INNOVATIONS in pharmacy. 2019;10(1). <https://doi.org/10.24926%2Fiip.v10i1.1645>

15. Liu D, Yamashita T, Burston B, Keene JR. The use of online health-management tools and health care utilization among older Americans. *The Gerontologist*. 2020 Oct 1;60(7):1224-32. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnaa068>
16. Mulukuntla S, VENKATA SP. Digital Transformation in Healthcare: Assessing the Impact on Patient Care and Safety. *EPH-International Journal of Medical and Health Science*. 2020 Jul 12;6(3):27-33 <https://doi.org/10.53555/eijmhs.v6i3.201>
17. Rohini M, Gowthamani R, Priyadharshini MI, Surendran D. Digital transformation technology and tools: shaping the future of primary health care. *Quantum Computing and Artificial Intelligence: Training Machine and Deep Learning Algorithms on Quantum Computers*. 2023 Aug 21;1 <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110791402-001>
18. Thapa S, Nielsen JB, Aldahmash AM, Qadri FR, Leppin A. Willingness to use digital health tools in patient care among health care professionals and students at a University Hospital in Saudi Arabia: quantitative cross-sectional survey. *JMIR medical education*. 2021 Feb 19;7(1):e18590. <https://doi.org/10.2196/18590>
19. Zhao, BY., Huang, L., Cheng, X. *et al.* Digital health literacy and associated factors among internet users from China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* **24**, 908 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18324-0>
20. Yuen E, Winter N, Savira F, Huggins CE, Nguyen L, Cooper P, Peeters A, Anderson K, Bhoyroo R, Crowe S, Ugalde A Digital Health Literacy and Its Association With Sociodemographic Characteristics, Health Resource Use, and Health Outcomes: Rapid Review *Interact J Med Res* 2024;13:e46888
doi: [10.2196/46888](https://doi.org/10.2196/46888)
21. Navarro Martínez O, Igual García J, Traver Salcedo V. Transferring Healthcare Professional's Digital Competencies to the Workplace and Patients: A Pilot Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Oct 13;19(20):13187. PMID: 36293766; PMCID: PMC9603321. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013187>.
22. Ng, C.B., Tan, Y.L., Kamaludin, R.S. *et al.* Experience and attitudes of pharmacists towards challenges and adaptive measures to new norm in ward pharmacy practice during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J of Pharm Policy and Pract* **16**, 85 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40545-023-00579-4>