

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_124682
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Emerging Protein and Peptide Therapeutics for Osteoporosis: Advances in Anabolic and Catabolic Treatments</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The problem related to osteoporosis is extremely large throughout the world. Any knowledge and experience related to the treatment of osteoporosis is valuable. Therefore, I consider this manuscript an important contribution to the treatment of osteoporosis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think the summary is adequately written.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper was written according to the instructions, it has all the components of the paper: summary, introduction, elaboration of the topic, conclusion and literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are a lot of references, maybe a little too much. I would suggest the only reference 37 because it is from 2004.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Slavica Jankovic</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Lavoslav Ružička, Croatia</b>