

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_124632
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacists Attitude and Perceived Barriers toward Providing Vaccination Services.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important because, it talk about the involvement of Pharmacist to participate in immunization program. This manuscript draws the attention of the healthcare stakeholders on the need to embrace every health professional when it comes to fighting preventable disease instead of leaving it for a few health professionals. It is call for a new era</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable based on the author conceptualization of the study.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The author tried to explain the study in the abstract however, the background should have talk more on how Pharmacist low involvement in immunization program affect its success and targeted coverage. This will catch reader attention on the significance of the study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Though the manuscript is important to the scientific community, it lacks cohesion from the abstract, the results and discussion. The manuscript did not clearly presented the attitudes observed in the study. Presenting the observed attitudes in the study will pave a way for improved participation in immunization program by other pharmacist.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, the references are sufficient</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the author presented the manuscript in a simple way for easy understanding of the general public.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The author should clearly indicate the variables that shows the participant attitudes and the barriers in the results table presented. If the author addresses the above mentioned comments, the manuscript will be interesting to read by the health stakeholders. The study design should be cross-sectional and not observational as indicated by the author.</p> <p>Major corrections needed to address results presented and discussion.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theophilus Pius
Department, University & Country	Kampala International University Western Campus, Uganda