

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_124632
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacists Attitude and Perceived Barriers toward Providing Vaccination Services.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vaccination in community pharmacies is a new concept initiated in a few developed countries. The developing countries are studying the feasibility of vaccination at community pharmacies. Given the new scope for pharmacist, it will be interesting to know the attitude and perception in taking up the new challenge.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have addressed their study objectives with sufficient supporting data and appropriate statistics. The language is appropriate for readership.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but check the referencing guidelines of the journal and corrections to be made if necessary.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How was the sample size calculated? 2. How many attitude based and perceived barrier questions. Add a brief description regarding the development of questionnaire. Was it self developed or adapted or adopted from other studies? 3. Why was the approved study protocol delayed for over 2 years? (from methods: This study was conducted from June 10, 2024, to August 23, 2024). Normally, the validity of a human ethics committee approved study protocol depends on the institution and the type of study, but it can be for a year or the duration of the project, whichever is shorter. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Nazer Ali
Department, University & Country	Geethanjali College of Pharmacy, India