

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_124626
Title of the Manuscript:	Language and Literacy Education in Austria – Perspectives of Teachers
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is highly significant to the scientific community. This is because language is an underlying criterion for literacy and literacy shapens knowledge. Understanding language formation and its strategies would help to better equip students for knowledge acquisition, foster inter cultural communication, and promote social inclusion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Role of Language Formation in Literacy Education in Austria- Teachers' Perspectives	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive, however, subtopics should be expunged (it should be written as a continuous sentence of a paragraph(s). Also, objectives of the study should be included in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are appropriate. However, the subsection 2 (Materials and Methods) is rather too bulky. It should be summarized to contain just key points	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and technically sound because it embeds elements of a scientific research such as a clearly defined introduction, research question, scientific method of data collection, results and discussions. However, a clearly stated statement of problem which points out what necessitated the study should be included as a subtopic before the research questions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient. However, there are lots of outdated references. Therefore, references from 2014 till date should be updated	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The English quality is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is a job well done considering the unwillingness of the teachers to participate in the study. However, the concluding part of the introduction referee to the work as a research project... It should be changed to paper	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajayi David Oluwatoyosi
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic Bali, Nigeria