

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_121954</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF AN ATTENDANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The paper is beneficial to the users in consideration of the ease of doing things. However, there are several studies already which conducted regarding this topic as indicated in the literature review. What is really the gap that this study is addressing? What is the distinct features of this developed attendance management system with other previous studies?</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Is the study focuses only about the development? How about its testing and evaluation? This should reflect in the title?</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract needs to be revisited in consideration of its format and the number of words based on the guidelines of the publisher (e.g. 300 words only, if any).</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<p><b>Research objectives or research questions is not written as part of the rationale or instruction, thus the presentation and analysis of data in the results and discussion section became problematic.</b></p> <p><b>Literature review needs improvement. The author just enumerated the literature without a deeper analysis as to their significance, similarities and difference from previous studies and the present study. Also, there is a need to add paragraph as introduction to the reviewed literature to guide the readers of the concepts and arguments of the study.</b></p> <p><b>Research methodology is very long. This may be shortened following the guidelines of the publisher. Also, the research design is not well discussed. Some of the discussions in the methodology may be placed in the discussion section but this depends on the objectives of the study (which is not clearly stated).</b></p>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The results and discussion are problematic since the research objectives/questions are not clearly established.</b>	

<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>Other references may be considered such as the reference below:</p> <p>Agripa, D. J. B., &amp; Astillero, S. F. (2022). DEVELOPMENT OF EMPLOYEE ATTENDANCE AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING QUICK RESPONSE (QR) CODE IN SORSOGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CASTILLA CAMPUS, PHILIPPINES. <i>European Journal of Education Studies</i>, 9(11).</p> <p>Other references in relation to the development of the attendance system using other variables may be added as references to clearly establish the gap in the study.</p>	
---	---	--

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Scholarly way of writing a research article should be taken into consideration.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper needs improvement as to its discussion of the content.</li> <li>2. The rationale of the study needs to be backed up with literature to establish the need to conduct such study.</li> <li>3. Literature review's discussion should be improved following the technical writing process and the manner of presenting the analysis be further improved so as to be accepted as a publishable paper.</li> <li>4. Methodology should correspond to the specific objectives of the study. Organize the methods used as to: research design, data collection, sampling, if any, data analysis procedure.</li> <li>5. Results and Discussion is a more appropriate sub-section after the methods. Following the IMRAD format is the standard format for research article publication.</li> <li>6. Conclusion and recommendation need improvement. These should be based on the SOPs.</li> <li>7. Reference lists need to be attuned to the in-text citations. Also, the format of the references should follow the 7<sup>th</sup> edition APA citation format.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Susan F. Astillero</p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p>Sorsogon State University, Philippines</p>