

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The English prose style is not very good, and a specialist would find many phrases that can be improved.2. Sections are numbered 1 to 6 according to the bottom paragraph of the introduction. It cannot be that the second section is called Section 1, and section 3 is called section 2 although the first subsection is 3.13. In section 3.2, Phillips has a typo4. Below equation 4, there is unexplained notation a_A and b_B5. Section 3.3.2 about the historical decomposition is incomprehensible. We do not know what is meant by variables X and Y	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We improved of the article2. We no understand3. We no understand4. We no understand5. We no understand <p>We have read and checked that we did not find (editorial comment) Points 2, 3, 4 and 5 in our article.</p>