

Editor's Comment:

Accepted After minor revision

I indeed had a look at the manuscript. Most of it is fine.

The English prose style is not very good, and a specialist would find many phrases that can be improved.

1. Sections are numbered 1 to 6 according to the bottom paragraph of the introduction. It cannot be that the second section is called Section 1, and section 3 is called section 2 although the first subsection is 3.1
2. In section 3.2, Phillips has a typo
3. Below equation 4, there is unexplained notation a_A and b_B
4. Section 3.3.2 about the historical decomposition is incomprehensible. We do not know what is meant by variables X and Y.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Robert Mauritius Kunst
University of Vienna, Austria.