

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124911
Title of the Manuscript:	Reaction of okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>) genotypes against <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> inciting root rot disease
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript topic important for scientific community for future work. But in this manuscript need some more scientific data on <i>R. solani</i> to say resistant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article title scientifically sound.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article comprehensive and subsections, structure are appropriate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I would like to suggest the author to follow below papers which will help to improve the discussion part. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2022.100410 https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i2at.9206 https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2020/7860 https://doi.org/10.5943/ppq/8/1/4 https://doi.org/10.2307/2806936	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript is scientifically require more recent references.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Author should improve the language quality.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Shahadat Hossen
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh