

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124838
Title of the Manuscript:	CHILGOZA PINE: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW ON ECOLOGY, CULTIVATION, AND ECONOMIC POTENTIAL OF AN UNDERUTILIZED NUT CROP
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable to the scientific community as it sheds light on Chilgoza pine, an underutilized nut crop with significant ecological and economic potential. By compiling information on its cultivation, ecological adaptability, and commercial opportunities, it provides insights for sustainable agriculture in arid and mountainous regions. I appreciate the comprehensive approach taken to cover both the challenges and advancements in Chilgoza pine cultivation, which could pave the way for better utilization of this crop. The emphasis on its economic potential adds further relevance for both researchers and policymakers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it effectively summarizes the key aspects of Chilgoza pine's ecology, cultivation, and economic potential. It provides a clear overview of the main topics covered, including ecological requirements, cultivation challenges, and commercialization opportunities. However, I suggest briefly mentioning the environmental benefits or conservation aspects of Chilgoza pine to further highlight its significance in sustainable agriculture and biodiversity. Otherwise, the abstract is well-structured and informative.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust as it synthesizes current knowledge from reliable sources on Chilgoza pine's ecology, cultivation, and economic potential. The information presented is well-structured, addressing key ecological requirements and agronomic challenges with supporting evidence. The focus on recent advancements and market trends adds to its technical soundness. Overall, the manuscript adheres to scientific rigor and offers valuable insights.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the references are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication; however, there are some grammatical mistakes that need to be corrected to enhance clarity and readability. Improving these errors will help the manuscript meet the standards expected in academic writing.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article provides a valuable and comprehensive overview of Chilgoza pine, addressing its ecological significance, cultivation practices, and economic potential. The thorough synthesis of current knowledge contributes to the understanding of this underutilized nut crop and highlights its relevance in sustainable agriculture. While there are some grammatical mistakes that should be corrected for clarity, the overall quality of the research is commendable. I recommend accepting the manuscript after making the necessary revisions to improve the language quality.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikas Kumar
Department, University & Country	Himachal Pradesh University, India