

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124838
Title of the Manuscript:	CHILGOZA PINE: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW ON ECOLOGY, CULTIVATION, AND ECONOMIC POTENTIAL OF AN UNDERUTILIZED NUT CROP
Type of the Article	Review article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject is important , but it is difficult to clearly appreciate the author's contribution, as practically all the information reported has references;  Also, in the bibliographical section, the author lists 126 references, whereas in the text there are only about 50.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It will have been important to provide at least one point on the economic impact of Chilgoza pine on the target population. Also, the market selling prices, the mode of use, etc., should be included.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that the manuscript should be improved by clearly highlighting the author's contribution in relation to the bibliography and by adding details of economic data, in particular marketing prices and possibly the way Chilgoza pine is used by the local community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references seem sufficient to me, but there's a big problem between the quotations within the text and the bibliographical references, which are three times as large as what was quoted.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Koroney Abdoul Salam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Université Boubakar Ba de Tillabéri, Niger</b>