

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121764
Title of the Manuscript:	Impacts of Various Insecticide Forms on Avian Health and Mortality: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is valuable to the scientific community, but it needs thorough revision.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	OK	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is average in rating. It can be made more concise and focused.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It also needs a proper look.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A detailed review is mentioned in part 5	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Some references are outdated. Consider including more recent studies, particularly if newer research can validate or refute past findings.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Major Revision: It also needs improvement. Maintaining consistency in tense (e.g., present or past) ensures readability. Some sentences are too long and complex. Breaking them down can enhance readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Part 5: Section wise Detailed review by the Reviewer</p> <p>Abstract: Abstract is average in rating. It can be made more concise and focused.</p> <p>Introduction: Suggested Improvements: The revision improves transitions between ideas to create a smoother narrative. For example, it now flows from general information on pesticide effects to more specific examples and economic impacts. Maintaining consistency in tense (e.g., present or past) ensures readability. Some sentences are too long and complex. Breaking them down can enhance readability.</p> <p>Consumption pattern in India and world: Using data from 2022 to 2024 would be more appropriate for this review article. The reference to (Wasim et al., 2009) may not reflect the most recent information available. The current information on pest control is mainly qualitative. If available, include data or estimates on how bird species contribute to reducing pest populations. The geographic locations like Biratnagar are mentioned, but the relevance of the site is not clearly explained. Elaborate on why Biratnagar is important in this context.</p> <p>In reviewing this paper, I did not find any novel aspects. What is the significance of discussing this work?</p> <p>Role of birds and agriculture: Clarify the primary focus in the first paragraph. It mixes multiple ideas. When introducing the idea of pest control, make a clearer link between bird behaviour and how they affect agriculture. Consider condensing the list of bird species and their feeding habits into a table or summarizing them more succinctly. Discuss more how environmental factors (like habitat loss) impact feeding behaviour, instead of just listing these factors. Avoid repetition in mentioning studies Figures: All figures are sourced from the internet. It would be better to modify them or utilize available AI tools for this purpose. (Important) The tables are directly copied from published studies and lack quality.</p> <p>Impact of pesticide exposure on birds: Expand on studies referenced with additional information on methodology and outcomes. Explain the relevance of each study to your research. Some references are outdated. Consider including more recent studies, particularly if newer research can validate or refute past findings. Expand on how pesticide exposure affects predator-prey interactions. Include examples of raptors or scavengers being affected indirectly by consuming contaminated prey. Include tables summarizing key studies that support each major finding. For example, list different pesticides, their effects on various bird species, and the geographic areas where these effects have been documented.</p> <p>Conclusion: Requires revision: Provide suitable suggestions.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohmad Imran Ganaie
Department, University & Country	Govt. Degree College, India