

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121764</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impacts of Various Insecticide Forms on Avian Health and Mortality: A Comprehensive Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community lies in revealing the harmful effects of certain pesticides particularly insecticides in wildlife health and their survival and their role in maintaining the ecosystems	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	To some extent it is suitable, but it can be more precise based on the content of the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract and keywords need to be more precise	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate i.e numbering of the sub-headings are totally missing	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript need a lot of scientific corrections particularly <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. updated citations and references,</li> <li>2. uniformity in line alignment,</li> <li>3. uniformity in writing reference style both in citations and reference section,</li> <li>4. spelling mistakes,</li> <li>5. no line numbering,</li> <li>6. proper punctuations and</li> <li>7. careful revisions including figure captions for Fig. 1, 2, 6, 8, 9 to meet the standard of the journal.</li> <li>8. Moreover, a research gap exist in the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	No, references are not sufficient and updated. They are totally outdated.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I strongly recommend to improved the quality or standard of English in the manuscript to meet the journal standard	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maisnam Sapana Devi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Thambal Marik College, India</b>