

Editor's Comment:

I am not sure whether the authors really understand the issues I and the other reviewers raised. It is actually using published data without acknowledging the source. I had provided the sources of the figures and table used in the revised manuscript in my last decision document. What the authors needed to have done was just to acknowledge the sources of their data. In this revised version, they have brought in new figures (that is new data) but still have not acknowledged the sources. For example, the new figure 2 and 3 contains data. This data was not collected by the authors but was obtained from elsewhere. It is important that this is acknowledged otherwise it is plagiarism and the integrity of the journal is what is at stake here. There is no problem using published material. This being a review article, it clearly depends on already published material as its source. However, this should be acknowledged.

Hopefully, our (me and reviewers) issues will be understood and the authors will revise accordingly and indicate the sources of their data. Once this is done, the paper can be published. However, as it is I am not comfortable recommending publication. They should also revise their figure captions so that the reader can understand what they represent.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Bonface O. Manono
Extension Professor, Colorado State University, USA and Senior Lecturer, South Eastern Kenya University, Kenya.