

**Review Form 1.8**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JEAI_120133</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Genetic Studies for Yield and its Components in Pigeonpea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i> L. Mill.sp)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br><i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>         | <b>Yes, because it gives an indication of the most important characteristics through which Indian genotypes can be developed</b>  |   |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)  | <b>Yes.</b>   |   |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  | <b>Yes, but it needs to be rephrased and combined with its sentences without setting secondary headings</b>   |   |
| <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>   | <b>Somewhat. Perhaps the introduction needs to be restructured, and you can refer to the detailed notes in the research paper</b>   |   |
| <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i> | <b>Yes, The steps and procedures do not contain any basic errors, and in general they are acceptable.</b>   |   |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>                  | <b>The references in general are mostly old, but more modern references can be used. I believe that basic references must be added to support the importance of the study in the introduction. The introduction contains only three references, which refer to the affiliation of the crop and the importance of the crop only, while the rest of the factors of the study and their importance have no references.</b> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  |   |   |
| <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  | <b>Yes.</b>   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Review Form 1.8**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Saddam Hakeem Cheyed</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>College of Agriculture Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq</b> |