

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_120133</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genetic Studies for Yield and its Components in Pigeonpea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i> L. Mill.sp)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	The manuscript is important for scientific community since the investigated pigeonpea collection is rich and the evaluated traits are important for the crop improvement	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Genetic Studies on Yield and its Components in Pigeonpea ( <i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L.) Millsp.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	The manuscript is correct with well-founded trial, a satisfactory interpretation of the results and final conclusions	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Orni, P.R.; Ahmed, S.Z.; Monefa, M.; Khan, T.; Dash, P.R. Pharmacological and phytochemical properties of <i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L.)Huth.(Fabaceae): A review. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res. 2018, 3, 27–37.  Instead of :[1] Greilhuber, J. and Obermayer, R., 1998. Genome size variation in <i>Cajanus cajan</i> (Fabaceae): a reconsideration. Plant Systematics and Evolution, 212, pp.135-141.	

**Review Form 1.8**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, with certain corrections	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Irena Radinovic
Department, University & Country	University of Belgrade, Serbia