

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_125023
Title of the Manuscript:	A Descriptive Study on the Phenotypic Variability of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Identified by MALDI-TOF
Type of the Article	Short communication

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study's findings underscore the importance of integrating advanced diagnostic technologies like MALDI-TOF MS into routine environmental monitoring and public health strategies. The topic being discussed is interesting from an epidemiological and diagnostic point of view He correctly pointed out the epidemiological significance of contamination of wastewater by opportunistic pathogens like P. aeruginosa and its resistance to antibiotics He also pointed out the importance of determining resistant strains using the most modern diagnostic methods and the justification of their application	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work was properly designed and the methodology used fully meets the standards accepted for this type of research The choice of antibiotics is in accordance with modern trends in their use, and the obtained results are clearly explained and statistically processed The discussion was adequately done on the basis of the obtained results and was well supported by the selected literature The conclusions were correctly drawn based on the results obtained	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The paper should be accepted for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivan Pavlovic
Department, University & Country	Scientific Institute of Veterinary Medicine of Serbia, Serbia