

Original Research Article

Application of SAR Activator for Management of Pea Powdery Mildew (*Erysiphe Polygoni*)

Abstract

Powdery mildew of pea is one of the foremost diseases of pea incited by *Erysiphe polygoni* DC. Present study conducted to find out the comparative performance of SAR activators for control of powdery mildew. An experiment was conducted at farm of SKNCOA, Jobner during *Rabi* season 2021. Five SAR activators along with control viz. ethylene (100 ppm), salicylic acid (250 ppm), hydrogen peroxide (200 ppm), fosetyl al (1000 ppm) and benzothiadiazole (1000 ppm) were applied as two foliar sprays. During evaluation, all the treatments were found effective against powdery mildew of pea but Salicylic acid (250 ppm) and Fosetyl AL (1000 ppm) were found as best treatment to control powdery mildew disease of pea.

Keywords: SAR activator, Pea, Powdery mildew, Disease management

Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is a significant legume vegetable crop from the Leguminosae family (Tulbeket *et al.*, 2017). Peas are an important nutritional component, including carbohydrates, proteins, and fiber. Various abiotic and biotic factors diminish pea profitability for producers by limiting output over time. India ranks second to China in terms of both area and output (NHB, 2018). In 2017/18, the country produced 540,000 hectares of garden peas with a yield of 5422.01 m^{tha}⁻¹, accounting for 21% of world

output (NHB, 2018). Uttar Pradesh, Orissa, Karnataka, Bihar, Haryana, Punjab and Himachal Pradesh are India's leading pea producers (NHB, 2018).

The vegetable pea fits to the family (*Fabaceae*) *Leguminosae*. "*Pisumsativum* L." sub species "*Hortense*" initiated from common field pea. *Pisum sativum* sub species "*arvense*" is considered to be native to Ethiopia, the Mediterranean and Central Asia. It has chromosome number $2n=14$.

Duke (1981) reported that peas are of four types i.e. Garden pea (*Pisum sativum* spp. *Hortense*), Field pea (*Pisum sativum* spp. *arvense* (L.) Poir.), Edible podded peas (*Pisum sativum* spp. *Macrocarpon*), and Early dwarf pea (*Pisumsativum* var *humile*). Pea was probably originated in Southwestern Asia, possibly in Northwestern India, Pakistan or adjacent areas of former USSR and Afghanistan. Pea was found in Switzerland dating back to about 7000 BC, in China in the first century, in America soon after Columbus and in Austria in 1922. This crop was grown by the Greeks and Romans, (Makasheva, 1983).

Frost can damage the plants during flowering stage. High humidity and gloomy weather condition results into spread of fungal diseases like damping-off and powdery mildew. Favorable temperature for growth is 13-18°C. Pea is affected by various fungal and bacterial diseases in high humid condition.

Nutrient value in pea, generally pea seed contain 17 to 22 g carbohydrates, 20 to 50 g starch, 14 to 26 g dietary fiber, 6.2 to 6.5 g protein, 0.4 g fat, 1.0 g ash per 100 g with 9 to 10 mg calcium, 3 to 5 mg sodium, 97 to 99 mg potassium per advance techniques like pulse electric field or ultra-sonication have shown remarkable impact on the efficiency by improving nutritional quality and techno functional properties of pea and its protein (Ma *et al.*, 2018 and Melchior *et al.*, 2020).

The crop is very much valuable in crop rotation (Duke, 1981). It is important legume crop next to soybean, groundnut and beans (Hules, 1994). Field pea contains 5 to 20 per cent less of the trypsin inhibitors than soybean and it allow directly fed to livestock without having to go through the extrusion heating process. In India pulses productivity low because its grown marginal lands and low rainfall areas, poor management practices using by farmers.

Powdery mildew disease is the one of the major disease of pea which occurs globally in the countries viz., India, Philippines, Brazil, South Australia, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Tropical Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Tropical Africa, France, USA, Pakistan, China, Russia, Canada and many other areas of the world it restrained major disease.

In India, powdery mildew (*Erysiphe polygoni* DC) was reported to occur and cause heavy qualitative and quantitative loss in pea, urdbeanmungbean, methi and many other important pulse crops grown in the states of Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Madhya Pradesh and Tamilnadu (Khosla *et al.*, 1988).

Key symptoms of powdery mildew disease are the presence of silver floury patches appeared on the leaves as well as stems, tendrils, pods and in the severe condition its cover whole plant parts except root region and finally plant become older and later stage of the crop whole plant become comparatively greyish brown and the infected parts convey dull appearance. In extreme condition infected pods and leaves fall down from the plant (Pramod and Dwivedi, 2007).

Powdery mildew is the major disease of pea throughout the world and it is the air borne disease worldwide distribution in severe form it reduces 24-27% pod weight, 21-30% pod number and up to 70% reduction in total yield loss (Pramod and Dwivedi, 2007).

The yield losses were reported to the tune of 50 to 90% when the intensity was high at pod formation stage (Surwaseet *al.*, 2009). Yield loss of 10-65% due to the disease has been reported (Tiwari *et al.*, 1997).

Considering the above facts, the field experiment was conducted to find out the comparative performance of SAR activators for control of powdery mildew.

Materials and methods

Field evaluation of SAR activators

The field experiment was conducted to find out the comparative performance of SAR activators for control of powdery mildew in a randomized block design with six

treatments including control and three replications with plot size of 2 x 1.2 m² during 2021. Sowings were done in the last week of November in this year. Following treatments were taken during study.

Table1 List of SAR activators

Treatment	SAR activators	Concentration (ppm)
T ₁	Ethylene	100
T ₂	Salicylic acid	250
T ₃	Hydrogen peroxide	200
T ₄	Fosetyl Al	1000
T ₅	Benzothiadiazole	1000
T ₆	Control(water)	-

Foliar applications of SAR were done at 30 days after sowing (before disease appearance). Per cent disease intensity was recorded by examining 20 leaves from 10 randomly selected plants in each plot starting from the initiation of disease using 0-5 rating scale (McKinney, 1923). The results were analysed statistically.

Results and Discussion

Five SAR were evaluated to measure the efficacy of various SAR activators, for management of powdery mildew of pea by spraying one time at 30DAS under field condition.

Result presented that all SAR activators were found significantly greater to control. Salicylic acid noted minimum 25.71 per cent disease intensity by decreasing 55.07 per cent disease intensity. Fosetyl AL was next best, being 30.45 per cent disease intensity with decreasing 46.78 per cent disease intensity over control (Table 2).

Benzothiadiazole and ethylene recorded 33.85 and 36.33 per cent disease intensity respectively with reduction 40.85, 36.50 per cent disease intensity over control. Hydrogen

peroxide resulted in 38.53 per cent disease intensity as mentioned earlier that yield loss of 10-65% due to the disease has been reported.

Table- 2 Effect of SAR activators on powdery mildew of pea under natural field condition

Treatment	SAR activators	Concentration (ppm)	*PDI	Per cent disease control
T ₁	Ethylene	100	36.33 (37.07)	36.50
T ₂	Salicylic acid	250	25.71 (30.47)	55.07
T ₃	Hydrogen peroxide	200	38.53 (38.37)	32.66
T ₄	Fosetyl Al	1000	30.45 (33.49)	46.78

T ₅	Benzothiadiazole	1000	33.85 (35.58)	40.85
T ₆	Control	-	57.22 (49.15)	0.00
Sem±			0.52	
CD (p=0.05)			1.60	

*Average of three replication

Figure 1 in parenthesis are angular transformed values




Fig. 1 Effect of SAR activators on powdery mildew of pea under natural condition.

Conclusion

Among five SAR activators, salicylic acid was most effective in controlling powdery mildew of pea 25.71 per cent disease intensity by decreasing 55.07 per cent disease intensity over control and second best recorded fosetyl Al with 30.45 per cent disease intensity with decreasing 46.78 per cent disease intensity over control.

References

1. Duke JA. Hand book of legumes of world economic importance. Plenum Press, New York Phytopathology. (1981); 199-265
2. HulesJH. Nature, composition and utilization of food legumes. In: Expanding the production and use of cool season food legumes. (Eds.): F.J. Muehlbauer and W.J. Kasir. Kluwer Acad. Pub. Dordrech, Netherland. (1994); 77-97
3. Khosla HK, Naik SL, Mandloi SC. Goray SC Control of powdery mildew of mung and urid in relation to losses and disease development. Indian Phytopathology. (1988); 41 (1): 59-63
4. Ma Z, Boye JI, Hu X. Nutritional quality and techno functional changes in raw, germinated and fermented yellow field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) upon pasteurization. LWT-Food Science and Technology. (2018); 92, 147–154
5. Makasheva RK. The Pea. Oxonian Press Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India. (1983); 267.
6. Mckinney HH. A New System of Grading of Plant Disease Management. Journal of Agriculture Research. (1923); 26:195-218
7. Melchior S, Calligaris S, Bisson G, Manzocco L. Understanding the impact of moderate-intensity pulsed electric fields (MIPEF) on structural and functional

- characteristics of pea, rice and gluten concentrates. Food and Bioprocess Technology. (2020); 13(12), 2145–2155
8. NHB. (2018). Indian Horticultural Database. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, India
 9. Pramod P, Dwivedi SN. Fungicidal management of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) powdery mildew caused by *Erysiphe polygoni* DC. Progressive Research. (2007); 2(1/2): 116-118
 10. Surwase AG, Badgire DR, Suryawanshi AP. Management of pea powdery mildew by fungicides, botanicals and bioagents. Ann. Pl. Protec. Sciences. (2009); 17 (2): 384-388
 11. Tiwari KR, Penner GA, Warkentin TD, Rashid KY. Pathogenic variation in *Erysiphe pisi*, the causal organism of powdery mildew of pea. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology. (1997); 19:267–271
 12. Tulbek MC, Lam RSH, Asavajaru P, Lam A. Pea A sustainable vegetable protein crop. Sustainable Protein Sources. Academic Press. (2017); 145–164.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

