

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125220
Title of the Manuscript:	Application Of SAR Activator For Management Of Pea Powdery Mildew (Erysiphe Polygoni)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes The manuscript is relevant to the area under study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Replace study objective	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes The manuscript was written in accordance with the journal's standards.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes Add citations for 2022, 2023 and 2024 in the introduction.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The authors present the manuscript (Ms_JABB_125220) "Application Of SAR Activator For Management Of Pea Powdery Mildew (Erysiphe Polygoni) "to be considered an original research article for publication in the Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology . In general, the original article is well written and I believe this one gives a good overview of the mentioned topic. The authors failed in relation to some points of the article, such as in abstract, introduction, table, materials, results and discussion and citations.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joao Rufino de Freitas Filho
Department, University & Country	Rural Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil