

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125065
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of oxidation of the hydroalcoholic extract of Myracrodruon urundeuva All. (Anarcadiaceae) on its antimicrobial activity
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is of relevance as it very generates viable strategy for more such plant derived products. And can work against antibiotic resistance so fosters more future research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study connects the findings to antibiotic resistance, a significant worldwide concern, and proposes a scientifically plausible alternative by investigating the antibacterial properties of plant-derived chemicals.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the article is generally clear and adequate for scholarly communication, although there are a few small issues with clarity and flow that might be improved. The language is appropriate for a scholarly readership.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nidhi Mahendru
Department, University & Country	Kanya Mahavidyalaya, India