

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125065
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of oxidation of the hydroalcoholic extract of Myracrodruon urundeuva All. (Anarcadiaceae) on its antimicrobial activity
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript (MS) has the importance for the scientific community about the effect of plant extract on health issues are concerned, because use of Ayurveda is an alarming issue for the scientific community of the globe in this juncture. Also this MS may provides the informations/ inspiration to the researcher to move on for searching such type of herbal extract to combat against the AMR issue which is an another pertinent issue to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Study of antimicrobial activity of the hydroalcoholic extract of Myracrodruon urundeuva All" will be better.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the article must be a comprehensive one so that a reader must get an insight of the research article. Be Careful about the spelling, punctuation mark, give space after full stop and provide space between the words as indicated in the MS.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Should strictly follow the "Instruction to author" about preparation of the manuscript for the journal during resubmission.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific correctness of this MS needs the clarification as mentioned in the manuscript itself. Make the necessary corrections and resubmit it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1. Reference no must be as per journal style and strictly follow the instruction to Author of the journal..	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes with some grammatical corrections. Sentences should not be long ones rather than short and lucid one.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manas Ranjan Senapati
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Agriculture & Technology, India