

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125049
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical characterization of jabuticaba (<i>Plinia cauliflora</i>) varieties
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is obvious that the article bears value for the scientific community to work more and explore the potential benefits discussed. However, the article is also important to the general public because of the nutrient value of the plant in focus. Other than the potential antioxidant and anticancer properties, the plant can also provide some important nutritional components. The article is also relevant to what I have been working at, as I have also worked at phytomedicines.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is ok, however the English quality is very weak	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, however discussion is missed in certain places.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is correct. It can meet all the basics for publishing (provided the suggested minor changes incorporated).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not insufficient; however, most of references are older.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the manuscript really needs improvement. In certain instances, spellings and grammar are incorrect. I have highlighted in yellow some errors and the separate file is attached in my email reply.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mujahd Sher
Department, University & Country	Abbottabad University of Science and Technology, Pakistan