

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125049
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical characterization of Jabuticaba (Plinia cauliflora) varieties
Type of the Article	Short communication

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The language of the manuscript is very poor. The objectives of the study have not been clearly mentioned. The methods used to characterise the chemical properties are traditional no modern methods have been employed. The conclusion is not apt and needs to be rewritten.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The focus of the study is not mentioned in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is not robust and it is not technically sound. It is just an average article with some new inputs to the biochemical properties of the plant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Not suitable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The MS may be revised for language modifications and the objectives have to be precisely included in both the abstract and end of the introduction. What were the previous literature on the biochemical properties in this species or closely related species. Discussion has not been discussed based on the outcomes of the present study. May be considered for publication after the minor revisions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Manimekalai
Department, University & Country	Sri Parasakthi College for Women, India