

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125019
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Genetic Variability and Character Association in Chickpea Genotypes for Growth and Yield Attributes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chickpea has played a major role in the realization of the pulse revolution in India making the country self-sufficient in pulses. 2. Chickpea is a vital alternative protein source in densely populated countries like India, providing 18-21% protein, 50-60% carbohydrates, 10-20% fibre, essential minerals, vitamins and unsaturated fatty acids like linoleic and oleic acids. 3. In India, chickpea is grown on 9.99 million hectares. 4. Its nutritional benefits support human health by aiding in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases, type-2 diabetes, digestive disorders and certain cancers 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Needs only grammatical corrections</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Some more references should be cited in discussion part.</p> <p>References for statistical analysis are also recommended (R, Augmented design)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs minor revision of grammar and sentence</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Genotypes is in title but germplasm lines is written in manuscript. So it should be similar at all places.</p> <p>Conclusion should be more precise and need refinement</p> <p>Superior genotypes with checks should be highlighted</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yogendra Singh
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, India