

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_124857
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Role of Interventional Radiology in Patients Management During Sudan War 2023-2024: A Western Sudan Experience</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study provides valuable insights into how medical practices adapt under crisis conditions. The findings highlight not only the challenges faced by healthcare providers but also the resilience of medical services in addressing urgent patient needs amidst conflict. I appreciate this manuscript for its thorough approach to data collection and analysis.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the manuscript provides a solid overview of the study's objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, there are areas where it could be enhanced for clarity and comprehensiveness. Suggestions:- clarify Objective, Expend Methodology	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The structure of the manuscript appears to be generally appropriate, with clearly defined sections that guide the reader through the research process.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study employs a retrospective descriptive design with a prospective component, allowing for a thorough examination of interventional radiology services in a conflict-affected area. The results are presented clearly, with statistical data supporting key observations, such as the predominance of certain procedures like permacath insertion.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	The manuscript cites several key studies that provide a background on interventional radiology practices. But the references are old. <b>Suggestion:-</b> Including references to updated clinical guidelines or consensus statements from professional organizations like the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) or the European Society of Radiology (ESR) would enhance the credibility and relevance of the manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and overall quality of English in the manuscript are generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are areas that require improvement for clarity and professionalism.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amit</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centurion University of Technology &amp; Management, India</b>