

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_124479
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MUSLIM WOMEN'S RAMADAN FASTING STATUS DURING PREGNANCY AND HEALTH PERCEPTION: AN EXAMPLE FROM TURKEY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article explores a specific and understudied area, examining the relationship between Ramadan fasting during pregnancy and Muslim women's health perceptions in Turkey. The study acknowledges the importance of Ramadan fasting in Islamic culture and its potential impact on pregnant women's health. The article contributes to the understanding of Muslim women's experiences with Ramadan fasting during pregnancy, highlighting the importance of cultural sensitivity in healthcare.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title may be "THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MUSLIM WOMEN'S RAMADAN FASTING STATUS DURING PREGNANCY AND HEALTH PERCEPTION: A CASE IN TURKEY"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, however the methods section should include briefly how the data were analysed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript discusses the study populations' perception of healthcare during Ramadan period in relation to the culture of fasting. The manuscript clearly outlines its research objectives as well as it's grounded in existing literature, addressing a specific knowledge gap. It also explains the population being studied, the criteria for inclusion/exclusion, and the sampling techniques used. This ensures that the findings can be generalized to the appropriate groups or adequately explain why they are context-specific.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, adequate for the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Only needs minor grammatical editing.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okunola Oluseye Ademola
Department, University & Country	Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria