

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_124479
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MUSLIM WOMEN'S RAMADAN FASTING STATUS DURING PREGNANCY AND HEALTH PERCEPTION: AN EXAMPLE FROM TURKEY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it sheds light on the health perceptions of Muslim women who fast during pregnancy, a topic that has been underexplored despite its relevance in predominantly Muslim societies. The study reveals that fasting is not only a spiritual practice but also deeply intertwined with the women's self-assessment of health, with non-fasting women reporting higher health perception scores. This nuanced understanding can guide healthcare providers in offering culturally sensitive advice, helping to address the anxieties and health concerns of pregnant women during Ramadan.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article could be more descriptive to better capture its focus. An alternative title could be: "Impact of Ramadan Fasting on Health Perceptions Among Pregnant Muslim Women: A Cross-Sectional Study". This title highlights both the cultural practice of fasting and its specific relationship to health perceptions in the target population, making it clearer to potential readers what to expect from the study.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Revised abstract example: Objective: This study aims to determine the relationship between Muslim women's fasting status during pregnancy and their perceptions of health, emphasizing its implications for maternal health practices. Methods: A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted on 250 pregnant women at a state hospital in Manisa during Ramadan. Data were collected using the "Pregnant's Information Form" and the "Health Perception Scale." Results: Among participants, 70 women fasted while 180 did not. A statistically significant difference was found in Health Perception Scale scores, with non-fasting women scoring higher (p-value) across various demographic factors, including education, occupation, and age at first marriage. Conclusions: One in four pregnant women reported fasting, influenced by age, education, occupation, family type, and chronic conditions. Non-fasting women had a higher average Health Perception Score, suggesting a need for tailored healthcare guidance during Ramadan. Keywords: Ramadan, Pregnancy, Fasting Behavior, Fasting Behavior, Cultural Practices</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript's structure and subsections appear appropriate for a scientific article, with clearly defined sections that cover essential components of a research study.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its clear methodological framework, including a well-defined cross-sectional study design and a comprehensive sampling strategy involving 250 pregnant women. Additionally, the statistical analyses employed, including the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests, are appropriate for the data types and enhance the validity of the results.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Sufficient. But it will be more sufficient to include a recent paper</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Asha Devi
Department, University & Country	M.V. Muthiah Govt. Arts College, India