

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124941
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Fertilization on Productivity and Nutritional Quality of Napier Grasses in Southern Mindanao</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes This manuscript offers vital insights into Napier grass nutrition under different varieties and fertilizers, enhancing forage quality understanding for sustainable livestock farming practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from highlighting specific outcomes and practical applications. Including more detailed results and their implications for future research would enhance its clarity.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust due to its rigorous methodology, comprehensive data analysis, and clear presentation of results. The experiments are well-designed, ensuring reliable outcomes, and the conclusions are logically derived from the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, covering key studies relevant to the topic. However, including recent publications on integrated nutrient and weed management in French bean would further strengthen the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor revisions are needed to enhance clarity and readability, such as improving sentence structure and correcting grammatical errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shivam Chaubey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, India</b>