

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124941
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Fertilization on Productivity and Nutritional Quality of Napier Grasses in Southern Mindanao</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript has the potential to contribute to the scientific community, addressing a relevant topic. A better in-depth discussion of the results and greater clarity regarding the methodology.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Impact of Fertilization on Productivity and Nutritional Quality of Napier Grass varieties in Southern Mindanao</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Abstract is comprehensive</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes it is good</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is scientific composition. The methods used are sufficiently satisfactory and detailed, and at times, seem adequate to achieve the proposed objectives. The literature review needs to be some updated, considering the large number of recent publications in the area of forage farming. According to the experimental design used in this study where blocking was used, the data obtained were well controlled and are therefore robust. The discussion is largely based on facts and the data obtained in the study. Statistical analysis is an integral part of this research study and there is evidence from this work that sufficient and appropriate analyses were carried out. However, there are some corrections to be made that are indicated in the text. For instance, it was noted that the model under statistical analysis should be written thus:  <math display="block">Y_{ijk} = \mu + B_i + C_j + B_i * C_j + Block_k + e_{ijk}</math> <p>Overall, the manuscript does reach the expected level of technical and scientific robustness.</p> </p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are relevant and adequate.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it presents good quality for understanding and communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I would encourage the author to keep it up. This is good work.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mekuanint Tadilo Tefera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia</b>